

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/730,598	BORENSTEIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PAUL DANNEMAN	3627	

**All Participants:**

(1) PAUL DANNEMAN.

(2) Robert Voigt, Reg.# 47,159.

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2008

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1453 hrs EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Informed Applicant's representative of a 35 USC 101 issue with Claim 1. I agreed to enter an Examiner's Amendmen with Applicant's submitted wording.t*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/F. Ryan Zeender/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)